

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED |                   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/736,636                              | 12/17/2003        | Chi-Kuang Lai        | 09071.0004          | 3852             |
| 22852                                   | 7590 12/16/2005   | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
|   | N, HENDERSON, FA  | VU, PHU              |                     |                  |
| LLP<br>901 NEW Y                        | ORK AVENUE, NW    | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
| WASHINGT                                | ON, DC 20001-4413 | 2871                 |                     |                  |

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  |   | Application   | n No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
|   |  |   | 10/736,636  | 5   | LAI, CHI-KUANG  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   |  |   | Examiner  |   | Art Unit  |  |  |
|   |  |   | Phu Vu  |   | 2871  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this commun<br>or Reply  | ication appea   | ars on the  | cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address   |  |  |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum street to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(nunication. 0) days, a reply watutory period will will, by statute, ca | (a). In no ever<br>within the statut<br>I apply and will<br>ause the applic | it, however, may a reply be timory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from action to become ABANDONE | nely filed<br>s will be considered timely.<br>the mailing date of this communication.<br>O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |   |   |   |  |  |
| 1)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) file  | ed on <u>17</u> .   |   |   |   |  |  |
|   | •  | ·   |   |   |   |  |  |
| 3) 🗌  | Since this application is in condition   | for allowance   | ce except f   | or formal matters, pro  | secution as to the merits is  |  |  |
|   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |   |   |   |  |  |
| Dispositi   | on of Claims   | •   |   |   |   |  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)□   | Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-8 and 17-20 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |   |   |   |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers  |   |   |   |   |  |  |
| 10)⊠  | The specification is objected to by th The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December</u> Applicant may not request that any objected to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to   | er 2003 is/are<br>ection to the dr<br>g the correctio   | e: a)  ac<br>rawing(s) be<br>on is require                                  | e held in abeyance. See<br>d if the drawing(s) is obj   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |
| Priority u  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |   |   |  |  |
| 12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. |  |   |   |   |   |  |  |
| Attachmen   | t(s)   |   |   | _   |   |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |  |   |   |   |   |  |  |
| 3) Inform   | re of Drattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F<br>mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or<br>r No(s)/Mail Date  |   | •   |   | ratent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2871

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ide 6707515.

Regarding claims 1-3, Ide teaches a liquid crystal display comprising: a backlight source (26), an EOLS (18) including a plurality of regions arranged, and an LCD panel (16) disposed to sandwich the EOLS with the backlight source receiving the light passing through the EOLS to display the image. The limitation of EOLS is interpreted broadly as it appears from applicant's specification an EOL shares the structure with liquid crystal display that is driven to act like a shutter. The driving mechanics do not appear to be any difference, thus limitation of during a time frame controlling the light from the backlight source to pass the regions in display time, the backlight source to pass each of the regions in sequence or the same time is are product by process limitation and Ide teaches any structure implied by this limitation.

Regarding claim 4, Ide teaches the EOLS comprising a first substrate, a first electrode layer on the first substrate comprising a plurality of transparent electrodes formed in parallel to one another (see fig. 3 element 8) and a second electrode layer on

Application/Control Number: 10/736,636

Art Unit: 2871

the second substrate (fig. 3 element 7), and an LC layer (fig. 3 element 3) between the first and second substrate.

**Regarding claim 5, I**de teaches the electrodes layers comprising ITO (column 4 lines 55-60).

Regarding claim 6, Ide teaches the LC layer comprising ferroelectric LC (column 3 line 20).

Regarding claim 7, the reference teaches the EOLS further comprising a polarizer on which the first substrate is disposed (fig. 18 element 14).

Regarding claim 17, Ide teaches a liquid crystal display comprising: providing a backlight source (26), emitting light from the backlight; providing an EOLS (18) including a first electrode layer on the first substrate comprising a plurality of transparent electrodes formed in parallel to one another (see fig. 3 element 8) and a second electrode layer on the second substrate (fig. 3 element 7), and an LC layer (fig. 3 element 3) between the first and second substrate. The limitation of selectively biasing the transparent electrodes to selectively allow the light from the backlight source to pass through the EOLs is met as the limitation does not elaborate on what selectively is meant. Therefore, any arbitrary biasing that occurs in the reference can be referred to as select biasing.

Regarding claim 18, the limitation of sequentially biasing is extremely broad. In any instance where a first electrode is biased prior to a second electrode sequential biasing occurs according to a broadest reasonable interpretation, which will occur in any LCD display.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,636

Art Unit: 2871

Regarding claim 19, the limitation of biasing the transparent electrodes at the same time is broadly interpreted as biasing any two electrodes at the time, which will occur in any LCD display.

Regarding claim 20, the limitation of the first and second layers being formed of ITO the reference teaches this limitation (see column 4 lines 55-60).

Claims 1, 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chang U20030227585.

Regarding claims 1, 4 and 8, Chang teaches a liquid crystal display comprising: a backlight source (fig. 1 element 26), an EOLS (14) including a plurality of regions arranged, and an LCD panel (12) disposed to sandwich the EOLS with the backlight source receiving the light passing through the EOLS to display the image. The limitation of EOLS is interpreted broadly as it appears from applicant's specification an EOL shares the structure with liquid crystal display that is driven to act like a shutter. The driving mechanics do not appear to be any difference, thus limitation of during a time frame controlling the light from the backlight source to pass the regions in display time, the backlight source to pass each of the regions in sequence or the same time is are product by process limitation and change teaches any structure implied by this limitation.

Regarding claim 4, Chang teaches the EOLS comprising a first substrate, a first electrode layer on the first substrate comprising a plurality of transparent electrodes formed in parallel to one another and a second electrode layer on the second substrate and an LC layer between the first and second substrate (see fig. 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/736,636

Art Unit: 2871

Regarding claim 8, Chang teaches the EOLS further comprising a first polarizer

on which the first substrate is disposed (26) and a second polarizer on which the

second substrate is disposed (24).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Phu Vu whose telephone number is (571)-272-1562.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571)-272-2293. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phu Vu Examiner AU 2871

ANDREW SCHECHTER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5